

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/975,001	10/12/2001	Shigeto Oeda	58799-051	8545	
75	90 10/10/2006		EXAM	INER	
McDermott, Will & Emery 600 13th Street, N.W.			AVELLINO	AVELLINO, JOSEPH E	
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2142	***	

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summers	09/975,001	OEDA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Joseph E. Avellino	2143
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  ( (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Section 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Example 25.	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) 4 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-18 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ of drawing(s) be held in abeyantion is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Art Unit: 2143

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. Claims 1-18 are presented for examination; claims 1, and 9-13 independent.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claim 4 is allowable over the prior art of record.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record does not provide for, nor suggests providing for a log generation apparatus which restricts information in a log by a ratio of disclosure, and then inserts dummy values into the log. This information is filtered according to a percentage ratio such that the receiver only receives only a subset of the total contents of the log. The entries that are removed are then padded with dummy entries (i.e. if the ratio is set to 40%, then the other 60% is dummy entries. The dummy entries are created by a pseudo information generating means which generates the pseudo information in the same format, wherein the pseudo information would be construed as genuine log information. The pseudo information generating means 404 generates user-designated information and pseudo user-designated information indicative of information about a pseudo pattern. The pattern is a method of cutting out log information transmitted in the past every predetermined periods at random and connecting then to thereby generate pseudo user-designated information. For these reasons, in conjunction with the other limitations of the allowed independent claim, put this claim in condition for allowance.

Art Unit: 2143

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Page 3

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

**4.** The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3, and 5-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nadine (EP 1 032 207) (cited by Applicant in IDS) in view of O'Flaherty et al. (USPN 6,275,824) (hereinafter O'Flaherty).

5. Referring to claim 1, Nadine discloses an information apparatus comprising: user-designated information acquiring means for acquiring sequential user-designated information including information regarding selections made by a user (i.e. recording information items representing the screening of transmissions by a user) e.g. abstract; col. 1, ¶ 6-8);

accuracy setting means for specifying accuracy setting information (i.e. what values will be saved in memory 20), said accuracy setting information including a ratio (i.e. how much and of what information pertaining to the screening) of disclosure of the acquired user designated information to the external device, whereby the user-

designated information notifying means is controlled according to the accuracy setting information specified by the user-designated information accuracy setting means (col. 5, ¶ 39) to thereby transmit log information which changes in accordance with time as the notification (i.e. constantly gathered as the stations change) to the external device (i.e. to an operator who can use these data for audience studies) (col. 5, ¶ 39-42).

Nadine does not specifically disclose the log information is restricted according to a ratio of disclosure. In analogous art, O'Flaherty discloses another database management system which discloses restricting information in the database based on a ratio of disclosure (i.e. based on the class of the requesting application, limiting the returned data according to user-defined privacy parameters, thereby creating a subset of the original data table based on what the application is allowed to access) (e.g. abstract; Figure 9; col. 9, lines 25-35). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of O'Flaherty with Nadine in order to protect data from abuse, thereby providing a complete data warehousing system while addressing the privacy concerns of the consumer as supported by O'Flaherty (col. 2, lines 45-50).

6. Referring to claim 2, Nadine discloses the log information is transmitted to the external device together with log accuracy information generated based on the accuracy setting information (i.e. "select information items which will be saved in the memory") (col. 5, ¶ 39-42).

Application/Control Number: 09/975,001 Page 5

Art Unit: 2143

7. Referring to claim 3, Nadine discloses including AV function control means (i.e. controlling the display of information) including a broadcast type contents receiving function (e.g. abstract).

8. Referring to claim 5, Nadine discloses including storing means configured to: store information, said stored information including part of the log information and the accuracy setting information (i.e. it is inherent that the accuracy setting information must be stored, otherwise the system would be unable to determine what values are to be stored in memory 20) (col. 5, ¶ 39-42), and

transmit the stored information to the external device (col. 5, ¶ 39-42).

- 9. Referring to claim 6, Nadine discloses the user-designated information acquiring means acquires user-designated information from each of the external AV devices respectively having AV function control means (i.e. television receivers), which are independent of the information processing apparatus (e.g. abstract).
- 10. Referring to claim 7, Nadine discloses the user-designated information acquiring means acquires user-designated information from a home appliance (i.e. a television receiver) independent of the information processing apparatus (e.g. abstract).
- 11. Referring to claim 8, Douvikas discloses a method for

Art Unit: 2143

\_

Page 6

(a) receiving contents information, said contents information comprising both contents substantial information (i.e. events table) and contents selection information (i.e. accuracy setting information, labels to be stored) for specifying a method of reproducing at least part of the contents substantial information (i.e. determining what information should be transmitted to the memory), and

- (b) reproducing the contents substantial information, is determined according to the contents selection information and the user-designated information (i.e. storing only those values which the user requests to be stored) (col. 5, ¶ 39-42).
- 12. Claims 9-18 are rejected for similar reasons as stated above. Furthermore O'Flaherty discloses the pseudo user-designated information is replaces the user-designated information according to the ratio of disclosure (i.e. based on the privacy level, the data is encrypted and replaces the clean text of the data) (col. 10, lines 49-57).

# Response to Arguments

- 13. Applicants arguments pertaining to claims being indefinite are persuasive. The rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph are withdrawn.
- 14. Applicants other arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2143

15. In the remarks, Applicant argues, in substance, that (1) neither Nadine nor

O'Flaherty disclose the claimed "ratio of disclosure".

16. As to point (1) Applicant is incorrect. Applicant can clearly find in the above

passages that the combination of Nadine in view of O'Flaherty does, in fact, disclose the

claimed "ratio of disclosure". Applicant argues features which are not claimed (i.e.

quoted passages of the specification, alleged features of the claimed invention, etc.)

however Applicant must be made aware that although the claims are interpreted in light

of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See

In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). By this rationale,

the rejection is maintained.

### Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

18. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Page 7

Art Unit: 2143

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 8

19. Applicant employs broad language, which includes the use of word, and phrases, which have broad meanings in the art. As the claims breadth allows multiple interpretations and meanings, which are broader than Applicant's disclosure, the Examiner is forced to interpret the claim limitations as broadly and as reasonably possible, in determining patentability of the disclosed invention. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir.1993). Failure for Applicant to significantly narrow definition/scope of the claims and supply arguments commensurate in scope with the claims implies the Applicant intends broad interpretation be given to the claims. The Examiner has interpreted the claims with scope parallel to the Applicant in the response, and reiterates the need for the Applicant to more clearly and distinctly, define the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph E. Avellino whose telephone number is (571) 272-3905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-4:00.

**Art Unit: 2143** 

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph E! Avellino, Examiner

September 26, 2006

BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 9